

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,295	08/22/2003	Michael Ejstrup Hansen	6260.200-US	9216
23650	7590 02/08/2005		EXAMINER	
NOVO NORDISK, INC.			NICOLAS, FREDERICK C	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 100 COLLEGE ROAD WEST		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PRINCETON	I, NJ 08540		3754	
		•	DATE MAILED: 02/08/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
			Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/646,295	HANSEN ET AL.			
	omee Action Cummury	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MANUALC DATE of this assumption of the	Frederick C. Nicolas	3754			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	bears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NC - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daywill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 D	<u>ecember 2004</u> .	·			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 7-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 7-10,12 and 13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 11 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) 🔀 Notic 2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/646,295

Art Unit: 3754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- I- As to claim 7, line 6, the phrase "may be" renders the claim indefinite, because "may be" can be interpreted as unsure.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 7-8,11,13 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 7, line 4, "the first end " lacks proper antecedent basis in the claim; line 10, "the axial elements" lacks proper antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required.

As to claim 8, line 7, "raidally" should be --radially--. Appropriate correction is required.

As to claim 11, line 1, "the coupling" lacks proper antecedent basis in the claim.

As to claim 13, line 7, "disolaceable" should be --displaceable--. Appropriate correction is required

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/646,295 Page 3

Art Unit: 3754

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 8-10,12-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hoffman et al. 5,002,537.

Hoffman et al. disclose an injection device (2), which comprises an axial element (50) into which a cartridge (4) of medication can be mounted, a cartridge holder (66), the axial element being radially expandable at least at one end (col. 4, II. 6-16), a rotatable ring (72), the ring coupled to the axial element so that when the ring rotates the axial element moves axially (col. 4, II. 4, II. 59-67), and wherein when the axial element is moved in a distal direction at least a portion of the axial element expands radially to allow the cartridge to be placed into the injection device or removed therefrom (col. 4, II. 59-68 onto col. 5, II. 1-20).

The device shown by Hoffman et al. will perform the method recited in claim 12 during normal operational use of the device.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. Claims 11 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 12/15/2004 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,295 Page 4

Art Unit: 3754

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick C. Nicolas whose telephone number is (571)-272-4931. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Y Mar, can be reached on 571-272-4906. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 3754

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

FΝ

February 5, 2005

Frederick/C. Nicolas

Patent Examiner Art Unit 3754